

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,660	09/25/2003		James R. Thacker	AB-299U	9783
23845	7590	06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
		NICS CORPORATI	MULLEN, KRISTEN DROESCH		
25129 RYE VALENCIA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, and the second			3766	
				DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SP			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/672,660	THACKER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kristen Mullen	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the open of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r nication. ttory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>IDS (7/6/04)</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL .					
3) Since this application is in condition for	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the ap	plication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	M. J. Markey and Samuel				
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any object					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to					
11) The bath of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John F 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority of 					
	ocuments have been received in A				
3. Copies of the certified copies o		received in this National Stage			
application from the Internation	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	receivea.			
Attachment(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/672,660 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6 and 21, drawn to system and method of selecting stimulation parameter sets, classified in class 607, subclass 15.
- II. Claims 7-12, drawn to a method of determining a stimulation parameter set, classified in class 607, subclass 59.
- III. Claims 13-20, drawn to a clinician fitting suite, classified in class 607, subclass59.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombination and combination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require the claimed particulars of "selecting a stimulation parameter set from a multiple of stimulation parameter sets", "obtaining a value for the level of power consumption of the selected stimulation parameter set" and "communicating the level of power consumption of the selected stimulation parameter". The subcombination has separate utility such as a computer program based method in which a pacemaker automatically selects a stimulation parameter set from multiple stimulation parameter sets.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,660

Art Unit: 3766

3. Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced within an implantable pacemaker alone.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions III and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as utilizing a programming computer and display for interacting with a cochlear stimulator implanted inside a patient in a process for testing various stimulation energies for comfortable patient perception thresholds while utilizing the least amount of energy.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 3766

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen Mullen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30 am-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,660

Art Unit: 3766

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kristen Mullen Patent Examiner Art Unit 3766

kdm

Krister muller